UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY DEAN SLAMA, CASE NO. 1:08-cv-00810-SKO

Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO FILE A JOINT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT

CITY OF MADERA, et al.,

v.

Defendants.

On May 31, 2013, pursuant to the request of counsel for Plaintiff Anthony Dean Slama ("Plaintiff"), the Court continued the trial date to September 3, 2013. (Docs. 172, 173.) The pretrial conference was set for August 1, 2013. (Docs. 172, 173.)

Rule 281 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court, Eastern District of California requires the parties to file a joint pretrial statement "[n]ot less than seven (7) days before the date set by the Court for the holding of the final pretrial conference." Local Rule 281(a)(2). As such, the joint pretrial conference statement was due in this case on July 25, 2013. The parties failed to file a statement.¹

¹ The Court notes that the parties had filed an amended pretrial conference statement on May 23, 2013, prior to the previous pretrial conference held on May 31, 2013. (Doc. 170.) This document is not sufficient because (1) Local Rule 281 requires the filing of a pretrial statement before the *final* pretrial conference, and (2) the information provided is no longer accurate. For example, Defendants list Steven Geringer as a defense witness; however, the Court denied Defendant's request to allow Mr. Geringer to testify, and Defendants did not file a motion within the time allowed to renew their request. Further, Defendant's exhibit list contains letters from Mr. Geringer and Plaintiff's exhibit list is vague and does not properly identify the specific documents Plaintiff's will seek to introduce at trial.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties file a joint pretrial conference statement that complies with the requirements set forth in Local Rule 281 by no later than 12:00 p.m. noon on Monday, July 29, 2013. The parties should also email a copy of the pretrial conference statement in Word format to skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov by the same deadline. Failure to comply with this order shall result in a continuance of the pretrial conference and trial dates, and may result in the imposition of sanctions. See, e.g., Local Rule 110. IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE **Dated:** July 26, 2013