I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	Willie D. Randle,	No. CV-08-00845-JAT
10	Plaintiff,)	ORDER
11	VS.)	
12	L.V. Franklin et al.,	
13) Defendant.	
14)	
15		
16		
17	For good cause shown, the Court will grant Defendants' first request for a seven-day	
18	extension of time to file and serve their response to Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (Doc.	
19 20	# 67). Accordingly,	
20 21	IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Amended First Request for Extension of Time to Respond Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (Doc. #71) is GRANTED. Defendants must file	
21 22	and serve their response on or before <u>November 5, 2010</u> .	
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' First Request for Extension of Time	
23 24	to Respond Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (Doc. # 70) is DENIED as moot.	
24 25	DATED this 2nd day of November, 2010.	
23 26		
20 27	$() \qquad (: II)$	
28	James A. Teilborg / United States District Judge	
20	Uni	ted States District Judge