-GSA Rodriguez v	v. County of Stanislaus, et al.	Doc. 541
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	LUCIO CORRAL RODRIGUEZ,	CASE NO. CV F 08-0856 LJO GSA
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS
12	VS.	SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO DISMISS ACTION (Doc. 539.)
13	NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER	
14	CORPORATION,	
15	Defendant/	
16	This Court's January 30, 2012 order required the parties, no later than February 29, 2012, to file	
17	appropriate papers to dismiss or conclude this action, or to show good cause why the action has not been	
18	dismissed. The parties have failed to file papers to comply with the January 30, 2012 order. This	
19	Court's Local Rule 11-110 provides that failure to comply with an order of this Court "may be grounds	
20	for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent	
21	power of the Court."	
22	Accordingly, this Court ORDERS the parties, no later than March 9, 2012, to file papers to show	
23	cause why sanctions, including dismissal of this action with or without prejudice and monetary sanctions	
24	against counsel and/or the parties, should not be imposed for failure to comply with the January 30, 2012	
25	order. This order to show cause will be discharged if, no later than March 9, 2012, papers are filed to	
26	dismiss this action in its entirety.	
27	Moreover, if the parties fail to complete settlement, this Court will explore resetting trial as soon	
28	as possible and reassigning this action to another district judge, including one from the Sacramento	
	1	

division or another district. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Dated: <u>March 1, 2012</u>