

timely response to that motion will result in granting the Motion for Summary Judgment if it is well founded. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's second Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Case (Doc. 64) is denied, but only because it does not state whether it seeks dismissal with prejudice or without prejudice. A further motion for voluntary dismissal with prejudice will be granted if it is filed. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED reaffirming the August 24, 2010 deadline for Plaintiff to file a response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010. Unho Neil Wake United States District Judge