1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MARIA LOPEZ, CASE NO. 1:08-cv-889 AWI-BAK 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY SCHEDULING ORDER v. 14 **DATES** P.L. VASQUEZ, et. al., 15 Defendants. 16 (Doc. 59) 17 18 On October 22, 2009, Defendants P.L. Vasquez, A. Kopp, and M. Tews filed a Motion to 19 Stay the dates outlined in the Amended Scheduling Order issued on March 12, 2009. (Doc. 39). 20 The basis of the request is that since the time the scheduling order was issued, Plaintiff filed a 21 Second Amended Complaint (SAC) naming additional defendants. In response, some of the 22 Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the SAC and the motion is still pending. 23 No opposition to the Motion to Stay has been filed. Upon review, the Court finds 24 Defendants have established good cause for the request and the motion is GRANTED. 25 Accordingly, the dates outlined in the Amended Scheduling Order referenced above are stayed 26 pending the resolution of the Motion to Dismiss. The Court sets this case for a status conference 27 on January 27, 2010 at 9:30 am. The parties shall file a joint status report five days prior to the 28 conference outlining the procedural posture of this case. The parties shall also notify the court if 1

1	the Motion to Dismiss is resolved prior to the status conference so that the status conference date
2	may be advanced.
3	
4	
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated: December 3, 2009 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	CIVITED STATES WINGISTRATE VODGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	