(SS) Donez v.Com	nmissioner of Social Security	Doc. 19
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE LINITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	LASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FRANK DONEZ,) 1:08-cv-00956 GSA
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
12	VS.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner	
13	of Social Security,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On July 8, 2008, Plaintiff filed the present action in this Court. Plaintiff seeks review of the	
18	Commissioner's denial of an application for benefits. On July 10, 2008, the Court issued a	
	Scheduling Order. (Doc. 6.) The Scheduling Order states that within 120 days after service,	
19	Defendant shall file and serve a copy of the administrative record which shall be deemed an answer	
20 21	to the complaint. The Order also provides that within 30 days after service of the administrative	
	record, Plaintiff shall serve on Defendant a letter brief outlining why remand is warranted which	
22 23	Defendant shall respond to within 35 days. In the event Defendant does not stipulate to remand,	
	within 30 days of Defendant's response, Plaintiff must file and serve an opening brief. Thereafter,	
24		
25	Defendant is to file a response to Plaintiff's opening brief. (Doc. 6 , \P 7.)	
26	//	
27	//	
28		1
	••	Dockets Justia com

On November 17, 2008, Defendant lodged the administrative record. (Doc. 11.) On March 9, 2009, Plaintiff filed his opening brief. (Doc. 14.) This Court approved a stipulation and signed an order on April 6, 2009, wherein Defendant was granted a first extension of time within which to respond to Plaintiff's brief. The response was to be filed by May 8, 2009. (Doc. 16.) On May 11, 2009, Defendant moved for a 30-day extension of time to June 7, 2009 (Doc. 17), and this Court again approved a stipulation and executed an order allowing Defendant a second extension of time within which to respond to Plaintiff's brief. Defendant's response was due June 7, 2009. (Doc. 18.)

Defendant has failed to file an opposition brief and the parties have not stipulated to an extension of time for such filing.

Therefore, Defendant is ordered to show cause, why sanctions should not be imposed for a failure to comply with the Stipulation and Order for Second Extension for Defendant to File Opposition Brief to Plaintiff's Opening Brief. Defendant is ORDERED to file a written response to this Order to Show Cause WITHIN twenty (20) days of the date of this Order. If Defendant desires more time to file a brief, it should so state in the response.

Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause within the time specified will result in sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 1, 2009 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE