(PC) Paul v. Doe et al Doc. 13

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 FRESNO DIVISION 10 11 12 13 DUANE PAUL, Civil No. 08-1047 MLH (RBB) CDCR #E-01335, 14 Plaintiff. 15 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR VOLUNTARY 16 VS. THDRAWAL OF CIVIL SUIT ONSTRUING IT AS A NOTICE OF 17 VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL JOHN/JANE DOE, et al., PURSUANT TO FED.R.CIV.P. 18 41(a)(1) [Doc. No. 12] 19 Defendant. 20 21 I. 22 PROCEDURAL HISTORY 23 On July 22, 2008, Plaintiff, an inmate currently incarcerated at Pelican Bay State Prison located 24 in Crescent City, California and proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 25 § 1983. Plaintiff did not prepay the \$350 filing fee mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) to commence a 26 civil action; instead, he filed a Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 27 § 1915(a) [Doc. No. 2]. The Court granted Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed IFP on July 24, 2008 [Doc. 28 No. 6].

-1-

08cv1047

1	
2	furt
3	state
4	leav
5	Cou
6	Wit
7	
8	
9	
10	by t
11	adve
12	Rul
13	defe
14	no c
15	S. C
16	
17	Cou
18	Fed
19	
20	
, 1	

On November 25, 2008, this matter was reassigned to District Judge Marilyn L. Huff for all further proceedings [Doc. No. 9]. The Court sua sponte dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint for failing to state a claim on January 7, 2009. *See* Jan. 7, 2009 Order at 5. Nonetheless, the Court granted Plaintiff leave to file a First Amended Complaint in order to correct the deficiencies of pleading identified by the Court in its Order. *Id.* Instead of filing an Amended Complaint, Plaintiff filed a "Motion to Voluntary Withdrawal" [Doc. No. 12].

II.

VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FRCP 41

Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "an action may be dismissed by the plaintiff without order of court by filing a notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment...." Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1). "Under Rule 41(a)(1), a plaintiff has an absolute right voluntarily to dismiss his action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary judgment..... The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required." *Concha v. London*, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995), *cert. dismissed*, 116 S. Ct. 1710 (1996); *see Pedrina v. Chun*, 987 F.2d 608, 610 (9th Cir. 1993).

In this matter, there has been no answer or motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court hereby construes Plaintiff's Request [Doc. No. 12] as a voluntary dismissal pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1) and dismisses Plaintiff's action without prejudice.

III.

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby:

Construes Plaintiff's "Motion for Voluntary Withdrawal" [Doc. No. 12] as a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P. 41(a)(1) and dismisses Plaintiff's action without prejudice. The Clerk shall close the file.

DATED: February 19, 2009

HON. MARILYN L. HUFF (United States District Judge

27

22

23

24

25

26

28