I

IN THE UNITED STATES	<b>S DISTRICT COURT FOR THE</b>	
EASTERN DISTR	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,	) 1: 08-CV-1086 AWI SMS	
Plaintiff,	) ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK	
	<ul> <li>OF COURT TO REIMBURSE</li> <li>FILINGS FEES TO DEFENDANTS</li> </ul>	
<b>v.</b>	) THAT WERE ERRONEOUSLY ) INCURRED	
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY RAILROAD	) )	
	<ul> <li>ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK</li> <li>OF COURT TO TERM THE</li> </ul>	
COMPANY, et al.,		
COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	) PENDING APPEAL	

Company and Defendant Tulare Valley Railroad Company ("Defendants") filed a request for reimbursement to Defendants of filing fees. Defendants' request is based on a December 28, 2010 notice of appeal erroneously filed by Defendants. Defendants have provided evidence that they intended the December 28, 2010 documents to be a motion for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's December 14, 2010 order and not an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. See Documents #198 & 199. As such, Defendants should not have paid the \$455.00 required for an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. In the interests of justice, the court ORDERS that:

- Defendants request for the reimbursement of \$455.00 to Defendants (Document #198) is GRANTED;

1	2.	The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to reimburse Defendants in the amount of
2 3	3.	\$455.00; As the pending appeal was filed in error, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to
4	5.	term the pending appeal (Document # 194).
5		term the pending upped (Boedment # 194).
6	IT IS SO OR	DERED.
7		No DR.
8	Dated: Jar	nuary 4, 2011 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
15		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		2
_0		