1	Briggs and Morgan, P.A.			
2	Timothy R. Thornton, MN Bar No. 109630 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)			
3	Paul J. Hemming, MN Bar No. 0346184 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)			
4	2200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street			
5	Minneapolis, MN 55402-2157 Telephone: (612) 977-8400			
6	Facsimile: (612) 977-8650			
7	Auchard & Stewart Paul Auchard, Bar No. 060474			
8	2377 W. Shaw, Suite 106 Fresno, CA 93711-3438			
9	Telephone: (559) 432-0991 Facsimile: (559) 432-1025			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff BNSF Railway Company, a Delaware Corporation			
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13		District of Chilif Old Mi		
14	BNSF Railway Company, a Delaware	Case No. 1:08-CV-01086-AWI-SMS		
15	Corporation,	Case Type: Declaratory Judgment/Contract		
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR AMENDED		
17	v.	COMPLAINT; ORDER		
18	San Joaquin Valley Railroad Company, a California Corporation; and Tulare Valley			
19	Railroad Company, a Nevada Corporation,			
20	Defendants.	Trial Date: May 11, 2009		
21				
22	Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties through their			
23	respective undersigned counsel hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff may serve and file its			
24	Amended Complaint. The parties agree that defendants shall have twenty (20) days to respond to			
25	the Amended Complaint after being served w	ith it.		
26	As a condition of this stipulation and	agreement, the parties also agree that the trial in this		
27	matter shall not be bifurcated, and respectfully ask the Court to enter the attached proposed order.			
D .A.	2425436v1	STIPULATION FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT; PROPOSED ORDER		

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A. Attorneys At Law Minneapolis

PROPOSED ORDER

1	Defendants are stipulating to the filing of this	s pleading in the attached format to avoid the	
2	necessity of a motion for leave to amend the original complaint under Rule 15, but reserve all		
3	rights, including without limitation the right to bring any responsive motions under the Federal		
4	Rules of Civil Procedure.		
5			
6	Dated: November 4, 2009 Bri	ggs and Morgan, P.A.	
7		s s/ Daul I Hammina	
8	By.	: s/ Paul J. Hemming Timothy R. Thornton Paul J. Hemming	
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff BNSF Railway Company, a Delaware	
10)	Corporation	
11			
12	Dated. November 3, 2007	cks Park LLP	
13			
14	By.	z s/ James B. Hicks James B. Hicks	
15		Gary W. Park Attorneys for Defendants	
16		San Joaquin Valley Railroad Company and Tulare Valley Railroad Company	
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	,		
ND P.A.	- 2 -	STIPULATION FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT;	

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW MINNEAPOLIS

- 2 - STIPULATION FOR PROPOSED ORDER

2425436v1

1	ORDER		
2	The Court notes that as a condition of this stipulation and agreement, the parties have		
3	agreed that the trial in this matter shall not be bifurcated, and the Court therefore hereby orders		
4	that the trial in this matter shall not be bifurcated, and pursuant to the parties' agreement and		
5	Local Rule 83-143, the Court also hereby approves the Stipulation for Amended Complaint.		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Plaintiff shall file the Amended Complaint within		
8	five (5) days from service of this Order.		
9	UT IC CO ODDEDED		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11	Dated: November 4, 2009 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder LINITED STATES MACKETPATE HIDGE		
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW MINNEAPOLIS

STIPULATION FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT; PROPOSED ORDER

2425436v1