1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
6		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	J. DOE,	CASE NO. 1:08-CV-1219-LJO-DLB PC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	v.	(DOC. 117)
11	J. YATES, et al.,	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY DAYS
12	Defendants.	IWENII DAIS
13	/	
14		
15	Plaintiff J. Doe ¹ ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California Department of	
16	Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
17	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding against Defendants Yates and	
18	Scott. ² On September 13, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion under seal with the Court. Plaintiff	
19	stated, <i>inter alia</i> , that multiple prior filings with the Court were not signed by Plaintiff, but by a	
20	non-party who is not Plaintiff's attorney. Plaintiff listed several possible requests, including	
21	dismissal of this action with prejudice to re-filing. The Court then ordered Defendants to	
22	respond to Plaintiff's motion. Defendants indicated that they had no objection to dismissing this	
23	action without prejudice.	
24	Now pending before the Court is Plaintiff's notice, filed October 18, 2010. (Doc. 117.)	
25	Plaintiff indicates that Plaintiff does not want to dismiss this action, but rather seeks an extension	
26		
27	¹ J. Doe is a fictitious name.	
28	² Other Defendants have yet to appear in this action.	

1

1 of time to file a reply to Defendants' motion to dismiss.

A pro se party filing with this Court must sign each paper personally. Fed. R. Civ. P.
11(a). A party that presents a pleading, written motion, or other paper with this Court certifies, *inter alia*, that the factual contentions have evidentiary support. *Id.* 11(b)(2).

5 Plaintiff through the September 13, 2010 motion has indicated that the previous pleadings and other motions in this action, which includes the original pleading that initiated this action 6 7 and the second amended complaint (the controlling pleading), were not signed by Plaintiff. 8 Thus, there is no proper pleading in this action. Plaintiff has indicated that Plaintiff did not 9 intentionally file improper papers with this Court. The Court has no evidence to dispute this. 10 However, the issue of improper filings remains, which is fatal to this action. The Court has 11 presented Plaintiff with the opportunity to dismiss this action without prejudice to filing in a new 12 action. However, Plaintiff indicates that Plaintiff wants to continue in this action, despite the 13 substantial issues listed above. If Plaintiff does not wish to dismiss this action without prejudice, 14 the Court will recommend dismissal of this action **with prejudice** for violation of Rule 11. This 15 action cannot proceed on improper filings.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff is to show cause within twenty
(20) days why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for violation of Rule 11.
Failure to timely respond or to show cause will result in a recommendation of dismissal of this
action with prejudice for violation of Rule 11. Plaintiff may request to voluntarily dismiss this
action without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a), within twenty (20)
days from the date of service of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 22, 2010

23

24

25

26

27

28

22

/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE