(PC) Doe v.	Yates et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	LINITED OF A TEC DIOTRICT COLIDS
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	J. DOE, CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01219-LJO DLB PC
10	Plaintiff, ORDER DISREGARDING MOTION FOR
11	V. ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS AS PREMATURE
12	J. YATES, et al., (Doc. 10)
13	Defendants.
14	
15	On August 15, 2008, defendants J. Yates, C. Hudson-Huckabay and K. Scott filed a notice
16	of removal of this action. (Doc. 1.) On October 10, 2008, Plaintiff J. Doe ¹ filed a motion requesting
17	that the Court provide Plaintiff with instructions and summons to serve defendants who have not yet
18	appeared in this action. (Doc. 10.)
19	On July 28, 2009, this Court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to file an amended complaint.
20	within thirty (30) days. The Court has not yet received Plaintiff's amended complaint. Therefore,
21	the request for the issuance of summons for service is disregarded as premature.
22	
23	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: August 12, 2009 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	
27	
28	¹ Fictitious name.
	1

Doc. 38