

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

J. DOE,

CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01219-LJO-DLB PC

Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
PLAINTIFF’S REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF

v.

YATES, et al.,

(Docs. 63, 68, 69)

Defendants.

_____ /

Plaintiff J. Doe¹ (“ Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 3, 2009, the Magistrate Judge filed a [Findings and Recommendations](#) herein which was served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objection to the Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within ten days. Plaintiff filed an [Objection](#) to the Findings and Recommendations on December 9, 2009. (Docs. 68, 69.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the

¹ “J. Doe” is a fictitious name.

1 Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Plaintiff
2 presents no reason as to why a court order is necessary for Plaintiff to meet with a potential
3 witness.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The [Findings and Recommendations](#), filed December 9, 2009, is adopted in full;
- 6 and
- 7 2. Plaintiff's [request for injunctive relief](#), filed on November 30, 2009, is denied.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 **Dated: December 10, 2009**

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28