

1 Consistent with the February 2, 2010 Order, on February 23, 2010, Plaintiff returned
2 his completed subpoenas to attempt to obtain Sather's address. The Clerk's office forwarded
3 the subpoenas to the USM-Sacramento for service. There is no further status noted in the
4 record. To ensure the progress of this case, the Court will require a status report from the
5 Marshals.


6 Based on the foregoing,

7 IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' motion for reconsideration (Doc. #50) is denied.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall forward a copy of this
9 Order to the Marshals.

10 IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that by May 19, 2010, the Marshals' office shall file a
11 status report advising whether the subpoenas forwarded to them on February 23, 2010 have
12 been served as required by the February 2, 2010 Order.

13 DATED this 30th day of April, 2010.

14
15
16 
17 James A. Teilborg
18 United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 _____
time of this incident. Therefore, Plaintiff's efforts at service are not futile.