(SS) Haworth v. C	ommissioner of Social Security		Doc.	9
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	SHELLEY HAWORTH,	1:08cv01276 AWI DLB		
11				
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE		
13	vs.			
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL			
15	SECURITY,			
16	Defendant.			
17	On August 27, 2008. Plaintiff proceeding in forms nouncing filed the proceed action for			
18	On August 27, 2008, Plaintiff, proceeding in forma pauperis, filed the present action for indicial review of the denial of Social Security benefits			
19	judicial review of the denial of Social Security benefits.			
20	On August 29, 2008, the Court issued a Scheduling Order along with Instructions for Service			
21	of Social Security Appeals. The instructions explained that in order to serve Defendant,			
22	Plaintiff was required to complete and return a USM-285 form to the Court, as well copies of the			
23	summons and complaint. Plaintiff has not done so, and therefore, Defendant has not yet been served			
24	with the complaint.			
25		SHOW CAUSE, if any she has, why the action		
26	should not be dismissed for failure to complete	te and return the service documents. Plaintiff is		
27				
28		1		
	•			

1	ORDERED to file a written response to this Order to Show Cause within twenty (20) days of the
2	date of this Order.
3	Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will result in dismissal of this action.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: January 7, 2009 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES WASISTICATE JODGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2