

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FRESNO DIVISION

CESAR URIBE,)	Case No. 08cv1285 DMS (NLS)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S
v.)	MOTION FOR AN ORDER
)	DIRECTING SERVICE BY U.S.
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER J.)	MARSHAL WITHOUT PREPAYMENT
McKESSON, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER)	OF COSTS
MARTINEZ, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER)	
ZARAGOSA, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER)	[Doc. No. 94]
TUZON,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

19 Plaintiff filed a motion asking this court for an order directing service of a subpoena duces tecum
20 by the United States Marshal without prepayment of costs under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d). Plaintiff, an
21 indigent pro se inmate proceeding with a civil rights complaint, wants to serve the subpoena on a
22 nonparty custodian of records at the California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison.
23 Through the subpoena Plaintiff seeks the production of the appeal inquiry records generated from his
24 Inmate/Parolee 602 form that relate to the alleged incidents in this suit, and all 602 forms regarding
25 complaints made against defendant J. McKesson during a certain time period.

26 The court has considered Plaintiff’s motion and denies it for lack of good cause. Plaintiff is
27 advised that his *in forma pauperis* status does not waive the payment of fees or expenses for witnesses.
28 *See Tedder v. Odel*, 890 F.2d 210, 211-212 (9th Cir. 1989). Plaintiff is responsible to pay for any costs

1 associated with the subpoena, including service. Further, discovery in this case closed on December 21,
2 2009, and Plaintiff has not presented good cause to reopen it at this late date. The court, therefore,
3 **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 DATED: November 30, 2010

6 

7 Hon. Nita L. Stormes
8 U.S. Magistrate Judge
9 United States District Court
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28