

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
910 ROBERTO A. SOTELO, CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01342-LJO-SMS PC  
11 v. Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
12 T. BIRRING, et al., FOR SETTLEMENT  
13 Defendants. /14  
15 Plaintiff Roberto A. Sotelo, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed  
16 this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 10, 2008. This action is  
17 proceeding on Plaintiff's amended complaint, filed February 24, 2009, against Defendants Birring,  
18 Das, Diep, and Coleman for acting with deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's medical needs, in  
19 violation of the Eighth Amendment.20 On May 21, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking settlement of this case prior to trial.<sup>1</sup> If,  
21 at any time prior to trial, the parties believe a settlement conference would be beneficial, the Court  
22 will arrange for one. However, absent agreement between both sides, a settlement conference will  
23 not be set, and at no time does the Court simply order the parties to settle the case. Plaintiff may,  
24 however, send Defendants' counsel a settlement demand or a request to engage in settlement  
25 negotiations at any time. The demand or request should not be filed with the Court.

26 ///

27  
28 

---

<sup>1</sup> The motion appears to be for an order settling this case rather than for a settlement conference.

1 Accordingly, as set forth herein, Plaintiff's motion for settlement is DENIED.  
2  
3  
4  
5

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: October 28, 2010

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28