

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE H. ROBINSON,

CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01380-AWI-BAM PC

Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF’S MOTIONS FOR  
SANCTIONS

v.

D. ADAMS, et al.,

(ECF Nos. 132, 135)

Defendants.

THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE

On March 16, 2012, an order issued granting Defendants’ motion for a protective order and ordering Defendants to serve responsive documents within thirty days. (ECF No. 130.) On April 4, 2012, and May 2, 2012, Plaintiff filed motions for sanctions stating that Defendants have not complied with the order and no discovery has been served. Defendants failed to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion filed April 4, 2012. Local Rule 230(l).

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendants shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Plaintiff’s motions for sanctions within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of this order; and
2. The failure to respond to Plaintiff’s motions in compliance with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated: May 3, 2012**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE