prosecuting this case. There is no evidence before this Court to suggest the presence of "exceptional circumstances" warranting appointment of counsel. The Court finds that Plaintiff's difficulty in presenting his claims *pro se* is not based on the complexity of the legal issues involved but rather on the general difficulty of litigating *pro se*. *See generally Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328 (9th Cir. 1986). Thus far, Plaintiff has been able to adequately articulate his claims. Moreover, there are only two remaining Defendants, and the matters before this Court are not complex. As such, the Court will not appoint counsel at this time. Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel [Doc. 32] is denied without prejudice.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

## II. REPLY TO ANSWER AND MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

Plaintiff filed a Reply to Defendant's Answer and Motion for a More Definitive Statement Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) [Doc. 33]. Rule 7(a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, only allows specific pleadings to be filed. These are:

- (1) a complaint;
- (2) an answer to a complaint;
- (3) an answer to a counterclaim designated as a counterclaim;
- (4) an answer to a crossclaim;
- (5) a third-party complaint;
  - (6) an answer to a third-party complaint; and
  - (7) if the court orders one, a reply to an answer.
- 19 Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a) (emphasis added). This Court has not ordered a reply to Defendants'
- 20 Answer [Doc. 31], nor did Defendants allege a counterclaim in their Answer. As such,
- 21 | Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Answer [Doc. 33] is improper.
- 22 Plaintiff further moves for a more definitive statement pursuant to Rule 12(e),
- 23 | Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 12(e) provides in relevant part: "A party may
- 24 move for a more definite statement of a pleading to which a responsive pleading is
- 25 *allowed.*" Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) (emphasis added). A responsive pleading to an answer is
- 26 | not allowed without leave of court. Fed. R. C. P. 7(a). This Court has not ordered a reply
- 27 | to Defendants' Answer [Doc. 31], nor did Defendants allege a counterclaim in their

28

the motion. A response must be served and filed within eighteen (18) days of service on

the motion; the moving party has five (5) court days from service to serve and file a reply.

Rule 56 motions for summary judgment and Rule 12(b)(1) motions to dismiss for lack of

subject matter jurisdiction provide an exception to the above time limits: the time for a

25

26

27

28

response is 30 days and the time for a reply is 15 days. Unless otherwise permitted by the Court, a motion or response, inclusive of supporting memorandum but exclusive of attachments and statement of facts, shall not exceed 17 pages; a reply shall not exceed 11 pages.

- 3. Any pleading which is submitted with more than one exhibit must be accompanied by a Table of Contents. The exhibits must be indexed with tabs that correspond to the Table of Contents. Absent exigent circumstances, the Court will not consider pleadings which do not conform to these requirements.
- E. The parties are directed to submit a jointly prepared letter regarding the status of settlement by **January 4, 2010**. The letter shall contain no specific terms of settlement proposals.
- F. Parties and counsel shall file a **Joint Proposed Pretrial Order** within **thirty (30) days after resolution of the dispositive motions** filed after the end of discovery. If no such motions are filed, a Joint Proposed Pretrial Order will be due on or before **January 9, 2012**. The content of the proposed pretrial order shall include, but not be limited to, that prescribed in the **Form of Pretrial Order** attached hereto. If the parties and counsel are unable to prepare a joint proposed pretrial order, a separate proposed pretrial order shall be submitted to the Court accompanied by a statement why the preparation of the joint proposed pretrial order could not be completed through written correspondence.
- 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule 37(c), the Court will not allow the parties to offer any exhibits, witnesses or other information that were not previously disclosed in accordance with the provisions of this Order and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or not listed in the Proposed Pretrial Order, except for good cause.
- G. Motions for extensions of any of the deadlines set forth above shall be governed by Fed.R.Civ.P. 16, L.R. 6-144. A motion for continuance shall be filed prior to the expiration of the deadline. The schedule set forth in this Order may only be modified with leave of Court and upon a showing of good cause. *See* Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b);

Johnson v. Mammoth Recreation, Inc., 975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992) (requiring a showing of good cause under Rule 16 to amend complaint beyond scheduling order deadline). Additionally, any motion for continuance of a discovery deadline, including a stipulation, shall set forth specifically what discovery has been conducted to date, the discovery to be completed, and the reasons why discovery has not been completed within the deadline.

This order contemplates that each party will conduct discovery in such a manner to complete, within the deadline, any and all discovery. "Last minute or eleventh hour" discovery which results in insufficient time to undertake additional discovery and which requires an extension of the discovery deadline will be met with disfavor, and could result in denial of an extension, exclusion of evidence, or the imposition of other sanctions.

The parties should note that willful failure to comply with *any* of the terms of this Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or other applicable rules may result in dismissal of this action without further notice to Plaintiff, or sanctions upon Defendant. *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992), *cert. denied*, 506 U.S. 915 (1992). Plaintiff is cautioned to comply with all applicable rules of civil procedure; his *pro se* status will not excuse his noncompliance. *King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987).

DATED this 2nd day of June, 2011.

Cindy K. Jorgenson United States District Judge

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	,		) No. CV-	-СКЈ	
10	Plaintiff, vs.		) ) ) DRODOCI		
11			) PROPOSI	ED JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER	
12					
13	, Defendant.		)		
14			)		
15	Pursuant to the Scheduling Order entered, 20, following is the				
16	Joint Proposed Final Pretrial Order to be considered at the pretrial conference.				
17	A. COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES				
18	Plaintiff(s):				
19	Defendant(s):  B. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION				
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>					
22	Cite the statute(s) that gives the Court jurisdiction, and whether jurisdiction is				
23	disputed.				
24	(E.g.: Jurisdiction in this case is based on diversity of citizenship under Title 2				
25	U.S.C. § 133	U.S.C. § 1332. Jurisdiction is (not) disputed.)			
26	C. NATURE OF ACTION				
27	Provide a concise statement of the type of case, the cause of action, and the relie				
28	sought.				

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(*E.g.*: This is a products liability case wherein the plaintiff seeks damages for personal injuries sustained when he fell from the driver's seat of a forklift. The plaintiff contends that the forklift was defectively designed and manufactured by the defendant and that the defects were a producing cause of his injuries and damages.)

# D. CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES

With respect to each count of the complaint, counterclaim or cross-claim, and to any defense, affirmative defense, or the rebuttal of a presumption where the burden of proof has shifted, the party having the burden of proof shall list the elements or standards that must be proved in order for the party to prevail on that claim or defense.

(*E.g.*: In order to prevail on this products liability case, the plaintiff must prove the following elements . . .)

(E.g.: In order to defeat this products liability claim based on the statute of repose, the defendant must prove the following elements . . .)

## E. STIPULATIONS AND UNCONTESTED FACTS

## F. CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT AND LAW

#### G. LISTS OF WITNESSES

A jointly prepared list of witnesses, identifying each as either plaintiff's or defendant's and indicating whether a fact or expert witness, must accompany this proposed order.

A brief statement as to the testimony of each expert witness must be included.

## H. LIST OF EXHIBITS

Each party must submit with this proposed order a list of numbered exhibits, with a description of each containing sufficient information to identify the exhibit, and indicating whether an objection to its admission is anticipated.

Exhibits should be marked according to instructions received from the court.

## I. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

Motions in limine shall be filed and served upon each party with this proposed order.

1		Any opposition shall be filed and served within ten (10) days.			
2	J.	LIST OF ANY PENDING MOTIONS			
3	K.	PROBABLE LENGTH OF TRIAL			
4	L.	FOR A BENCH TRIAL			
5		Proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law shall be served and filed on each			
6		party with this proposed order.			
7	M.	FOR A JURY TRIAL			
8		Stipulated jury instructions shall be filed thirty (30) days before trial. Instruction			
9		which are not agreed upon, and a concise argument in support of the instruction, sha			
10		be filed and served upon each party thirty (30) days before trial. Objections to the			
11		non-agreed upon instructions shall be filed and served upon each party within ten (10)			
12		days.			
13	N.	CERTIFICATION			
14		The undersigned counsel for each of the parties in this action do hereby certify and			
15		acknowledge the following:			
16		1. All discovery has been completed.			
17		2. The identity of each witness has been disclosed to opposing counsel.			
18		3. Each exhibit listed herein: (a) is in existence; (b) is numbered; and (c) has been			
19		disclosed and shown to opposing counsel.			
20	О.	ADOPTION			
21		The Court may adopt this proposed Joint Pretrial Order at the Pre-Trial Conference			
22		or subsequent hearing.			
23		DATED this, 20			
24		APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT			
25					
26					
27					
28	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant				