(PC) Andreas v. Yates et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	NICOLAS DAVID ANDREAS,	CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01410-LJO-SMS PC
10		ORDER STRIKING PLAINTFF'S SUR-REPLY TO MOTION TO DISMISS
11	v.	Doc. 40)
12	JAMES YATES, et al.,	Doc. 40)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Nicolas David Andreas, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis,	
16	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 8, 2008.	
17	Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Local Rules provides for a sur-reply to	
18	a moving party's reply in a motion to dismiss, absent an order from the court requiring one. Fed. R.	
19	Civ. P. 12. In this case, the court did not order a reply to the answer.	
20	Accordingly, Plaintiff's sur-reply to defendants' reply, filed May 3, 2010, is HEREBY	
21	ORDERED STRICKEN from the record.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: May 6, 2010	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	UNITI	ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		
		1

Doc. 41