

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Ernesto Centeno,
Plaintiff,
vs.
David Wilson, et al.,
Defendants.

) No. 1:08-CV-1435-FJM

ORDER

The court has before it plaintiff's second motion to amend the complaint (doc. 22). Plaintiff's original complaint was filed on September 24, 2008, almost two years ago. On April 6, 2010, plaintiff filed his first motion to amend the complaint, which we denied on the basis of futility. Once again plaintiff moves to amend the complaint, this time attempting to generally bolster his claims and to reassert claims against B. Teesdale who has already been dismissed from this case (doc. 10).

In our Rule 16 scheduling order, we set April 25, 2010 as the deadline to amend the complaint and join additional parties (doc. 15). That deadline has long since expired. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED DENYING** plaintiff's motion to amend (doc. 22).

DATED this 4th day of August, 2010.

Frederick J. Martone

Frederick J. Martone
United States District Judge