



1 Marshal filed his return of service for each of the four Defendants named above. In the  
2 remarks section for each of the Defendants the form noted that on 6/3/09 per facility there  
3 was no Officer Tarkington, Scott or Leggs. As to Officer Campos the facility stated he was  
4 not employed. The Marshal also checked a resource described as a CDC Locator on June  
5 22, 2009 for each of the four Defendants. That database revealed no Officer Campos,  
6 Tarkington, or Leggs. With respect to the name Scott several listings were found in the  
7 database.

8 It is the responsibility of the Plaintiff to provide sufficient information to the United  
9 States Marshal for service of process. The address and names provided on the USM-285  
10 forms did not result in service on any of these individuals because they were not at the  
11 facility at 24511W. Jayne Ave., Coalinga, CA as of June 3, 2009. Plaintiff will be given a  
12 second opportunity to provide information so that service on some or all of the four  
13 Defendants can be made.

14 **IT IS ORDERED:**

15 (1) That the Clerk of Court send Plaintiff a service packet including the complaint  
16 (doc. #1), the Court's order dated April 23, 2009 (doc. #7), a Notice of Submission of  
17 Documents form, an instruction sheet and copies of summons and USM-285 forms for  
18 Defendants Tarkington, Leggs, Campos and Scott.


19 (2) Within 30 days of the filing of this order Plaintiff must complete and return to  
20 the Clerk of Court the Notice of Submission of Documents. Plaintiff must submit with the  
21 Notice of Submission of Documents a copy of the complaint for each Defendant, a copy of  
22 this order for each Defendant, a completed summons for each Defendant and a completed  
23 USM-285 form for each Defendant.

24 (3) If Plaintiff fails to return the Notice of Submission of Documents and the  
25 required documents within 30 days of the date of this order the Clerk of Court, without  
26 further notice, is directed to enter a judgment of dismissal of this action without prejudice.  
27 This will be Plaintiff's final opportunity to provide sufficient service information to the  
28 United States Marshal. If service cannot be made with this second set of summons because

1 Plaintiff fails to provide sufficient information to effect service, then Plaintiff's complaint  
2 will be dismissed without prejudice.

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Susan R. Bolton  
United States District Judge