

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 SERGIO SANCHEZ,) 1:08-CV-01460 OWW SMS HC
13 Petitioner,) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14) RECOMMENDATION
15 v.) [Doc. #13]
16 M. S. EVANS,) ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT
17) OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DIRECTING
18 Respondent.) CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT
) ORDER DECLINING ISSUANCE OF
) CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

19 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On March 11, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued a [Findings and Recommendation](#) that recommended the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED for failure to comply with a court order. This Findings and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that any objections to the Findings and Recommendation were to be filed within ten (10) days of the date of service of the order. Over ten (10) days have passed and no party has filed objections.

26 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de*
27 *novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings
28

1 and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis.

2 A state prisoner seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a
3 district court's denial of his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. Miller-
4 El v. Cockrell, 123 S.Ct. 1029, 1039 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue
5 a certificate of appealability is 28 U.S.C. § 2253, which provides as follows:

6 (a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a
7 district judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court
of appeals for the circuit in which the proceeding is held.

8 (b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the
9 validity of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial
a person charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the
validity of such person's detention pending removal proceedings.

10 (c) (1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an
11 appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—

12 (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the
13 detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State
court; or

14 (B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.

15 (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the
16 applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

17 (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which
18 specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

19 If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of appealability
20 “if jurists of reason could disagree with the district court's resolution of his constitutional claims or
21 that jurists could conclude the issues presented are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed
22 further.” Miller-El, 123 S.Ct. at 1034; Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). While the
23 petitioner is not required to prove the merits of his case, he must demonstrate “something more than
24 the absence of frivolity or the existence of mere good faith on his . . . part.” Miller-El, 123 S.Ct. at
1040.

25 In the present case, the Court finds that reasonable jurists would not find the Court's
26 determination that Petitioner is not entitled to federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or
27 deserving of encouragement to proceed further. Petitioner has not made the required substantial
28 showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, the Court hereby DECLINES to issue a

1 certificate of appealability.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The Findings and Recommendation issued March 11, 2009, is ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 4 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED;
- 5 3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to enter judgment; and
- 6 4. The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 **Dated: April 9, 2009**

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28