23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 MIGUEL A. MOLINA, 1:08-cv-01473-AWI-SMS 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS** (Doc. 21) 12 I VS. ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S 13 SOCIAL SECURITY COMPLAINT MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL (Doc. 1) 14 SECURITY, ORDER REMANDING ACTION 15 Defendant. PURSUANT TO SENTENCE FOUR ORDER DIRECTING JUDGMENT 16 BE ENTERED FOR PLAINTIFF 17 AND AGAINST DEFENDANT 18 19 20 21 22 for benefits under the Social Security Act.

Plaintiff is represented by counsel and seeks judicial review of an administrative decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying, in whole or in part, Plaintiff's claim

On January 11, 2010, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and Recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within thirty (30) days. To date, the parties have not filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 305, this Court has conducted a denovo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Findings and Recommendations, filed January 11,
   are ADOPTED IN FULL;
  - 2. Plaintiff's social security complaint is GRANTED;
- 3. This action is REMANDED pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further consideration, consistent with this decision, of Plaintiff's status as disabled, including whether or not Plaintiff (a) suffered from a severe impairment or impairments, (b) could perform any past relevant work and, (c) if appropriate, whether, on the basis of Plaintiff's age, education, work experience, and residual functional capacity, he could perform any other gainful and substantial work within the economy; and,
- 4. Judgment be ENTERED for Plaintiff and against Defendant.

22 I IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: March 5, 2010 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE