| 1 | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | EASTEKN DIST | RICI OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | | (1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, |
| | MICHAEL ANDRE TODD, |) Case No.: 1:08-cv-01504-SAB (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE REGARDING EXPERT WITNESSES |
| 13 | V. |) [ECF No. 123] |
| | ANTHONY L. HEDGPETH, et al., |)) |
| 15 | Defendants. |) |
| 16 17 | |) ng pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights |
| | Plaintiff Michael Andre Todd is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. | |
| 19 | On April 17, 2014, Plaintiff filed a letter/inquiry regarding the rules and procedures for | |
| | obtaining expert witness testimony at trial for a pro se litigant. The Court has informed Plaintiff | |
| | repeatedly in several orders, including the trial scheduling order issued January 27, 2014, and the | |
| | pretrial order and order denying Plaintiff's request for the Court to obtain attendance of incarcerated | |
| | witnesses issued April 11, 2014, as to the procedure for obtaining and presenting expert witness | |
| | testimony at trial. The Court has provided Plaintiff with the applicable rules and procedure regarding | |
| | expert witness and no further information can be provided. | |
| 25 26 | To the extent Plaintiff is also seeking assistance as the filing of a motion of limine, the Court | |
| | has provided Plaintiff with the applicable rule(s) and procedure(s) regarding the filing of a motion in | |
| - ' | limine in the orders issued January 27, 2014, and April 11, 2104. Plaintiff is advised that a district | |

| 1 | court cannot act as a party's lawyer, even for pro se litigants. See, e.g., Bias v. Moynihan, 508 F.3d | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 1212, 1219 (9th Cir. 2007) ("A district court lacks the power to act as a party's lawyer, even for pro se | |
| 3 | litigants."); Gordon v. Barnett, No. C03-5524 KLS, 2007 WL 4358314, at *3 (W.D. Wash. Dec. 7, | |
| 4 | 2007) (stating that if the pro se plaintiff had asked the court for legal advice, the court "could not have | |
| 5 | done more than direct plaintiff to the applicable rules of civil procedure."). | |
| 6 | Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's request for assistance regarding expert witnesses and | |
| 7 | motions in limine is DENIED. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 10 | Dated: April 22, 2014 | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |