1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 RANDALL EDGAR WILLIAMS, 1:08cv01523 OWW GSA SANDRA WILLIAMS 11 12 ORDER REGARDING IN CAMERA REVIEW OF DEPOSITION OF DARREN HISE Plaintiffs, 13 v. 14 MICHAEL J. TROEHLER, CITY OF (Document 62) FRESNO POLICE OFFICER 15 16 17 Defendant. 18 19 Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties this Court conducted an *in camera* review of the 20 Deposition of Darren Hise. Mr. Hise is an expert designed by Plaintiff Randall Williams on the issue of police procedures. He has recently given a deposition in the case of *Muldrew v. County* 21 22 of Fresno, Case No. 1:09-cv-00023 OWW-DLB. Upon a review of the Plaintiff's request that certain portions of the deposition not be 23 disclosed, the Court orders that the following portions of the deposition be redacted as they are 24 25 not relevant to the instant proceedings: Pages 59-82 26 Volume 1: Volume II: Pages 218: 6 -219:7 Pages 185: 20-189:25 Pages 255: 5-256:14 27 Pages 195-200 Pages: 393: 5-11 Pages 205:23- 210:25 28 1

Williams et al v. Troehler

Doc. 67

1	Plaintiff's counsel shall contact the Courtroom Deputy to make arrangements to pick up	
2	the deposition transcripts.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated:July 2, 2010	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		