(HC) Tannehill v. A	adams	Doc	;. 9
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	KEITH D TANNEHILL,	1:08-cv-01657-WMW (HC)	
12	Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION	
		TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	
13	VS.	(DOCUMENT #2)	
14	DARREL ADAMS,		
15	Respondent.	/	
16			
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to		
18	28 U.S.C. § 2254.		
19	Petitioner has filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Examination of this document		
20	reveals that petitioner is unable to afford the costs of this action. Accordingly, the motion to proceed		
21	in forma pauperis is GRANTED. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915.		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated: February 2, 2009	/s/ William M. Wunderlich UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			