Cramer v. Target C	Corporation et al	Doc. 55	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	MATTHEW B. CRAMER, CASE NO. 1:08-ev-0	01693-OWW-SMS	
10			
11		ONS, RECOMMENDING	
12	v. MOTION TO REVO	DENIAL OF DEFENDANT ERIC HELLER'S MOTION TO REVOKE PLAINTIFF'S IN	
13		IFF A VEXATIONS	
14	TARGET CORPORATION, et al., LITIGANT (Doc. 43)		
15	Defendants. (Doc. 50)		
16			
17	Plaintiff Matthew Cramer is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to		
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983, arising from injuries inflicted on him during his detention for shoplifting by store		
19	security personnel and local police. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge		
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
21	On November 18, 2009, Defendant Eric Heller filed a motion challenging Plaintiff's filing of		
22	his complaint in forma pauperis and seeking to declare plaintiff a vexatious litigant (Doc.43). On		
23	January 6, 2010, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and Recommendations recommending that		
24	Defendant Heller's motion be denied (Doc. 50). The Findings and Recommendations were served		
25	on the parties and contained notice to the parties that any objections to the Findings and		
26	Recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. On January 21, 2010, Defendant Heller filed		
27	objections to the findings and recommendations and supporting declarations (Docs. 51, 52 & 53).		
28	3		
	1		

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case and has considered Defendant Heller's objections. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed January 6, 2010, are adopted in full; 2. Defendant Eric Heller's motion to revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status and to declare Plaintiff a vexatious litigant (Doc. 46) is DENIED; and 3. This matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for the resolution of other pending motions. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 5, 2010 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE