(HC) Garcia v. Eva	/ans 	Doc. 33
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANCISCO OROSCO GARCIA, 1:08-cv-1819 AWI-JMD (HC)	
12		
13	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR vs. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
14		
15	(DOCUMENT #31) Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
18	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze	,
19	258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).	
20	However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	;
21	of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254	1
22	Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the	
23	appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	
24	Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: August 2, 2010 /s/ John M. Dixon UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27		
28		