1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	IVAN RAY CARTER, JR.,1:08-cv-01841-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	vs. (Doc. 134)
14	A. FERNANDEZ, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	On March 12, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff
18	does not have a constitutional right to the appointment of counsel in this action. Palmer v.
19	Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009); Storseth v. Spellman, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th Cir.
20	1981). The Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
21	1915(e)(1), but it will do so only if exceptional circumstances exist. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970;
22	Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1981). In making this determination, the
23	Court must evaluate the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of Plaintiff to
24	articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer, 560
25	F.3d at 970 (citation and quotation marks omitted); Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. Neither
26	consideration is dispositive and they must be viewed together. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970 (citation
27	and quotation marks omitted); <u>Wilborn</u> 789 F.2d at 1331.
28	///

1	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even
2	if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious
3	allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. The Court is
4	faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, the Court cannot make a determination that
5	Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. This action arises out of an incident of alleged
6	excessive force and as such, resolution will be based upon credibility determinations made by the
7	jury. Finally, the legal issues are not complex and the record reflects Plaintiff's ability to
8	articulate his claims.
9	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY
10	DENIED. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970; Wilborn 789 F.2d at 1331.
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Dated: <u>March 20, 2012</u> /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-
	-2-