1	GRIMM, VRANJES, MCCORMICK & GRAHAM LLP		
2	A. Carl Yaeckel (SBN 89920) cyaeckel@gymglaw.com		
3	550 West C Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 129012		
4	San Diego, California 92112-9012 Telephone: (619) 231-8802 Fax: (619) 233-6039		
5	Fax: (619) 233-6039		
6	Attorneys for Defendant NORTH AMERICAN CAPACITY INSURANCE COMPANY		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8 9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 10	BINGLEY LAND COMPANY,) CASE NO: 1:08-cv-01869-LJO-SMS		
10	INC., a California corporation;) INTERSTATE LAND COMPANY,) JOINT SCHEDULING STIPULATION		
11	INC., a California corporation; and) AND ORDER INTERSTATE LAND COMPANY,)		
12	LLC, a California limited liability) company,		
13	Plaintiffs,		
15	V.		
16) NORTH AMERICAN CAPACITY		
17	INSURANCE COMPANY, a) Corporation, a New Hampshire)		
18	corporation, and Does 1 through 40,)		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	The parties hereto do stipulate and agree as follows:		
22	1. This is an insurance bad faith lawsuit. All causes of action herein pertain		
23	to insurance policies issued by Defendants providing Commercial General Liability		
24	coverage to Plaintiffs.		
25	2. The allegations herein relate to Defendant's conditional denial of		
26	coverage to Plaintiffs in an underlying construction defect lawsuit, Ramos v.		
27	Interstate Land Company, LLC. This case remains pending in the Superior Court for		
28	the County of Kern, State of California.		
	1		
	JOINT SCHEDULING STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

Plaintiffs had filed a second action against Defendants which was also
 before this court, Case No. 1:09-cv-00148-LJO (SMS), *Bingley Land Company, Inc. v. North American Capacity Insurance Company*. The allegations in that case were
 essentially the same as in the present case, except that they were based on NAC's
 conditional denial of coverage to Plaintiffs in a different underlying construction
 defect lawsuit, *Zendejas v. Interstate Land Company, Inc., LLC*.

7 4. The original Scheduling Conference Order in this case of January 26,
8 2009, set trial for March 22, 2010.

9 5. As a result of the filing of the Complaint and subsequent Scheduling
10 Conference in Case No. 1:09-cv-00148-LJO (SMS), an Amended Scheduling
11 Conference Order was issued May 4, 2009, with a jury trial date of June 7, 2010.
12 Other deadlines were set as part of that Order, including a discovery deadline of
13 February 12, 2010.

6. Mediations during calendar year 2009 in the *Zendejas* lawsuit resulted
in its recent settlement. As a result of that settlement, the case of *Bingley Land Company, Inc. v. Interstate*, Case No. 1:09-cv-00148-LJO (SMS), was subject to a
joint motion to dismiss, and is now fully resolved.

7. Attempts to mediate the *Ramos* case were delayed, pending mediation
of the *Zendejas* case, because both cases involved essentially the same parties,
attorneys, and mediator. It was believed that settlement of *Zendejas* would facilitate
settlement of *Ramos*.

8. The mediation schedule in the case underlying the current lawsuit, *Ramos v. Interstate*, is such that meaningful attempts at global resolution of that case
is likely to occur subsequent to the current trial date of June 7, 2010.

9. Based on consultation with the mediator in *Ramos* case, Mr. Gerald
Kurland, counsel for the defendant, A. Carl Yaeckel, Esq., is informed and believes
that mediation efforts involving Plaintiffs are scheduled to occur during the time
period May through August 2010.

2

1 10. The parties believe that meaningful settlement efforts with regard to this 2 case are dependent upon, and should take place as part of, the mediation in the 3 underlying *Ramos* case. This can occur only if a change in the currently scheduled 4 trial date in this action is made by the Court.

5 11. The parties seek to avoid the cost of completion of discovery in this 6 action, and particularly of expert discovery, while efforts to mediate the *Ramos* case 7 and to settle this case are underway. Plaintiffs herein also may seek to take 8 depositions which will have to occur out-of-state and the parties seek to avoid that 9 cost as well.

10 12. Therefore, the parties believe good cause exists for continuance of this
11 trial date for a period of months, with continuance of the deadlines for discovery and
12 motions to also be continued accordingly. The parties would propose the following
13 dates, or such other dates as the court deems appropriate:

14	Jury Trial Date (estimate six days) - (Old Date June 7, 2010)		
15	New Date: October 18, 2010.		
16	Pre-Trial Conference Date - September 21, 2010		
17	Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline - August 17, 2010		
18	Non-Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline - July 30, 1010		
19	Discovery Deadline - July 30, 2010		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	3		
	JOINT SCHEDULING STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
2				
3		GRIMM VRANJES McCORMICK & GRAHAM, llp		
4				
6]	By: <u>/s/ A. Carl Yaeckel</u> A. CARL YAECKEL		
7		Attorneys for Defendant NORTH AMERICAN CAPACITY INSURANCE COMPANY		
8 9	Dated: January 20, 2010	McCARTNEY & ASSOCIATES		
10	<i>Lator. Failury</i> 20, 2010			
11		By: <u>/s/ N. Thomas McCartney</u> N. THOMAS McCARTNEY		
12		N. THOMAS McCARTNEY Attorneys for Plaintiff, BINGLEY		
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff, BINGLEY LAND COMPANY, INC.; INTERSTATE LAND COMPANY, INC.; and INTERSTATE LAND		
14		COMPANY, LLC		
15				
16	ORDER			
17	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Scheduling Conference Order is amended			
18	as follows:			
19	Discovery Deadline:	July 30, 2010		
20	Non-Dispositive Motion Filing Deadlin	ne: July 30, 2010		
21	Dispositive Motion Filing Deadling:	August 17, 2010		
22 23	Pre-Trial Conference Date:	September 21, 2010 at 8:30 a.m. Before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Judge		
24 25	Jury Trial Date:	November 1, 2010 at 8:30 a.m. Before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Judge		
25 26		States District Judge		
27	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
28	Dated:	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill		
	4			
	JOINT SCHEDULING STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			

