

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMISI JERMAINE CALLOWAY,
Plaintiff,
vs.
M. VEAL, et al.,
Defendants.

1:08-cv-01896-LJO-GSA-PC

ORDER FOR DEFENDANTS TO NOTIFY
COURT WITHIN TWENTY DAYS
WHETHER THEY BELIEVE A
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WOULD
BE BENEFICIAL

I. BACKGROUND

Jamisi Jermaine Calloway (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983. This case is scheduled for jury trial on January 31, 2017 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4, before the Honorable Lawrence J. O’Neill.

On September 8, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion for the Court to schedule a settlement conference in this action. (ECF Nos. 176, 177.) Plaintiff states that he believes, in good faith, that a settlement in this case is a possibility, and he has offered a reasonable amount to settle this case.

II. SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS

The Court is able to refer cases for mediation before a participating United States Magistrate Judge. Settlement conferences are ordinarily held in person at the Court or at a prison in the Eastern District of California. Defendants shall notify the Court whether they

1 believe, in good faith, that settlement in this case is a possibility and whether they are interested
2 in having a settlement conference scheduled by the Court.¹

3 Defendants' counsel shall notify the Court whether there are security concerns that
4 would prohibit scheduling a settlement conference. If security concerns exist, counsel shall
5 notify the Court whether those concerns can be adequately addressed if Plaintiff is transferred
6 for settlement only and then returned to prison for housing.

7 **III. CONCLUSION**

8 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within **twenty (20) days** from
9 the date of service of this order, Defendants shall file a written response to this order.²

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 Dated: September 23, 2016

13 /s/ Gary S. Austin
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 ¹ The parties may wish to discuss the issue by telephone in determining whether they believe settlement
is feasible.

27 ² The issuance of this order does not guarantee referral for settlement, but the Court will make every
28 reasonable attempt to secure the referral should both parties desire a settlement conference.