16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,
v.

CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
DOUGLAS BURNS, BARRY JAGER,
GREG BASS, and ANN-MAURA
CERVANTES, MAI YIA MOUA, and
DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

KRISTA GARCIA, by and through her

Guardian Ad Litem, LORAINE MARIN,

NO. 1:08-CV-01924-AWI-SMS

ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT GREG BASS ONLY IN LIGHT OF STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

On October 9, 2009, the Plaintiff filed a stipulation of dismissal of Defendant Greg Bass only, with prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii). The stipulation is signed by all parties in this case.

Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:

an action may be dismissed by the plaintiff without order of court (i) by filing a notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment, whichever first occurs, or (ii) by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared in the action. Unless otherwise stated in the notice of dismissal or stipulation, the dismissal is without prejudice, except that a notice of dismissal operates as an adjudication upon the merits when filed by a plaintiff who has once dismissed in any court of the United States or of any state an action based on or including the same claim.

Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily after service of an answer by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. <u>Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso.</u>, 884 F.2d

1	1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the
2	stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no
3	order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); <u>Eitel</u> , 782
4	F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear
5	that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not require
6	judicial approval." In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v. A.H. Robins Co.,
7	747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG, 377 F.3d 133, 139
8	(2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir.
9	1999) <u>cf.</u> <u>Wilson v. City of San Jose</u> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing 41(a)(1)(i)).
10	"The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a
11	Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically terminates the action as to the defendants
12	who are the subjects of the notice." Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493,
13	1506 (9th Cir. 1995).
14	Because the parties have filed a voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) as to only
15	Greg Bass only, that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has
16	terminated as to Greg Bass only. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466;
17	Gardiner, 747 F.2d at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193
18	F.3d at 1077; <u>cf. Wilson</u> , 111 F.3d at 692.
19	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Greg Bass is DISMISSED from this case
20	with prejudice in light of the parties's filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1) voluntary
21	dismissal.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: October 14, 2009 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	
27	