(PC) Carr v. Cate et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	ORRIN CARR,	CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01931-LJO-GBC PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND OPPOSITION AS MOOT
11	v.	
12	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	(Docs. 31, 32)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Orrin Carr ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
16	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The action is proceeding on the complaint	
17	filed December 17, 2008, against Defendants Matthew Cate and James Yates for promulgating and	
18	implementing a vague regulation in violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth	
19	Amendment. Pursuant to the Court's scheduling order, the deadline for the completion of all	
20	discovery is January 4, 2011. On October 20, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel discovery.	
21	(Doc. 27.) Having received no response from Defendants, the Court considered the motion and	
22	issued an order denying the motion, without prejudice, on November 29, 2010. On November 24,	
23	2010, Defendants filed a motion for extension of time and an opposition. (Docs. 31, 32.) Since the	
24	motion has already been considered and denied, Defendants motion for extension of time and	
25	objection will be stricken from the record.	
26	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion for nunc pro tunc extension	
27	of time and opposition, filed November 24, 2010, are STRICKEN FROM THE RECORD.	
28		
		1

Doc. 34

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2010

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE