(PC) Carr v. Cate et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	ORRIN CARR	CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01931-LJO-GBC (PC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
10	,	MODIFICATION OF SCHEDULING ORDER
11	v. MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	(ECF No. 44)
12	Defendants.	DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS DUE WITHIN THIRTY DAYS
13		,
14	Plaintiff Orrin Carr ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 15, 2011,	
15		
16	Defendants filed a Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order requesting additional time	
17	to file dispositive motions. (ECF No. 44.) Defendants state that such additional time is	
18	necessary to allow them an opportunity to access Plaintiff's prison file and complete a	
19	motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff has not filed any pleading related to this Motion.	
20	For good cause shown, Defendant's Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order is	
21	GRANTED. Dispositive motions shall be filed within thirty days.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: <u>April 22, 2011</u>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		CIANTED CITATED IN TOIL THE CITATED COL
26		
27		
28		

Doc. 46