Ernst v. Cate, et al.		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	TED KEENER ERNST,) 1:08-cv-01940 OWW GSA
10	Plaintiff,))) ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
	v.	DISMISS (Docs. 5, 11 & 13)
11	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14		
15	On January 30, 2009, Defendant Matthew Cate moved to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint,	
16	pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The motion was amended on	
17	February 20, 2009, and again, on February 23, 2009. Rather than oppose the motions, Plaintiff	
18	filed a First Amended Complaint on April 7, 2009, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,	
19	rule 15(a). An amended complaint supercedes the original complaint, and this Court treats	
20	Plaintiff's original complaint as withdrawn. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir.	
21	1992).	
22	Accordingly, this Court DENIES as moot Defendant's motions to dismiss Plaintiff's	
23	original complaint (Docs. 5, 11 & 13).	
24		
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: <u>April 14, 2009</u>	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		1

Doc. 20