

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NICK WOODALL, 1:08-CV-01948-OWW-DLB PC
Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
v. (DOC. 97)
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

_____ /

Plaintiff Nick Woodall ("Plaintiff") is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 7, 2010, Plaintiff filed a [motion for summary judgment](#) against Defendants Lawson, Sexton, and Olive. Pl.'s Mot. Summ. J., Doc. 67. On February 16, 2011, Defendants T. Gonzalez, T. Lawson, A. Olive, and M. Sexton filed a [motion for summary judgment](#). Defs.' Mot. Summ. J., Doc. 79. On March 8, 2011, Defendant A. Raygoza filed a [motion for summary judgment](#). Def.'s Mot. Summ. J., Doc. 81. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 1, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed a [Findings and Recommendations](#) which was served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objection to the Findings and

1 Recommendations was to be filed within eighteen days. Doc. 97.
2 Neither party filed a timely Objection to the Findings and
3 Recommendations.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1),
5 this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having
6 carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings
7 and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
8 analysis.

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 10 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed August 1, 2011,
11 is adopted in full;
- 12 2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, filed December
13 7, 2010, is DENIED;
- 14 3. Defendants T. Gonzalez, T. Lawson, A. Olive, and M.
15 Sexton's motion for summary judgment, filed February 16,
16 2011, is GRANTED;
- 17 4. Summary judgment is granted for Defendants T. Gonzalez,
18 T. Lawson, A. Olive, and M. Sexton and against Plaintiff;
- 19 5. Defendants T. Gonzalez, T. Lawson, A. Olive, and M.
20 Sexton are dismissed from this action;
- 21 6. Defendant Raygoza's motion for summary judgment, filed
22 March 8, 2011, is DENIED; and
- 23 7. This action is referred to the Magistrate Judge for
24 further proceedings.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 **Dated: September 9, 2011**

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28