(PC) Hamilton v. L	ara et al I	Doc. 18	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITEI	D STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	EUGENE HAMILTON,	1:08-cv-01967-OWW-GSA-PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS	
13	VS.	AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 11.)	
14	LARA, et al.,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE	
15	Defendants.	RELIEF (Doc. 10.)	
16		(Doc. 10.)	
17	Eugene Hamilton ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights		
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge		
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On June 14, 2010, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that		
21	the motion for preliminary injunctive relief filed by plaintiff on April 30, 2010, be denied. (Doc.		
22	11.) Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations		
23	within thirty days. To date, plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings		
24	and recommendations.		
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304,		
26	this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the		
27	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.		
28		1	

1	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:
2	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on June 14
3	2010, are adopted in full; and
4	2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief, filed on April 30, 2010, is
5	DENIED.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated: August 6, 2010 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
0	
1	
2	
13	
4	
15	
16	
17	
8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	