1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	ANDREW R. LOPEZ,	CASE NO. 1:08-cv-01975-LJO-SKO PC	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
11	V.	(Doc. 21)	
12	FLOREZ, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	5 Plaintiff Andrew R. Lopez ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil		
16	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
17	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
18	On June 28, 2010, the Magistrate Judge issued a Findings and Recommendations which		
19	recommended that Plaintiff's March 24, 2010 motion requesting preliminary injunctive relief be		
20	denied. (Doc. #21.) The Findings and Recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained		
21	notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within		
22	thirty (30) days of the date on which the Findings and Recommendations were served. Plaintiff filed		
23	objections on July 12, 2010. (Doc. #22.)		
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. \S 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 305, this Court		
25	has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court		
26	finds the Findings and Recommendations to be	e supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

1	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that:	
2	1. The June 28, 2010 Findings and Recommendations are ADOPTED in full; and	
3	2. Plaintiff's March 24, 2010 motion requesting preliminary injunctive relief is	
4	DENIED.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated:August 30, 2010/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
28		
	2	