| Quinonez et al v. Direct Dairy Transport, LLC |   |  | 26 |
|---|---|--|----|
|   |   |  |    |
|   |   |  |    |
| 1   |   |  |    |
| 2   |   |  |    |
| 3   |   |  |    |
| 4   |   |  |    |
| 5   |   |  |    |
| 6   | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |    |
| 7   | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |    |
| 8   |   |  |    |
| 9   | FRANCISCO QUINONEZ, et al.,   | CASE NO. CV-F-08-1984 LJO SMS                        |    |
| 10  | Plaintiffs,   | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE<br>RE UNTIMELY MOTION            |    |
| 11  | v.  | RE UNTIWIELT WOTTON                                  |    |
| 12  | DIRECT DAIRY TRANSPORT, LLC, et al.,  |  |    |
| 13  | Defendants.   |  |    |
| 14  | /   |  |    |
| 15  | Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order (Doc. 18), the filing deadline for plaintiffs' motion for class     |  |    |
| 16  | certification pursuant to Rule 23 was set for January 12, 2010. The motion has not been filed, and        |  |    |
| 17  | therefore, the any filed motion would be untimely. Unless plaintiffs show good cause in writing no later  |  |    |
| 18  | than February 1, 2010 for the failure to timely file the motion, the Court intends to dismiss the Rule 23 |  |    |
| 19  | allegations for the Second, Fourth and Sixth causes of action.  |  |    |
| 20  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |    |
| 21  | Dated:  | /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |    |
| 22  |   | CIVITED STITTES PROTICET VEDGE                       |    |
| 23  |   |  |    |
| 24  |   |  |    |
| 25  |   |  |    |
| 26  |   |  |    |
| 27  |   |  |    |
| 28  |   |  |    |
|   |   | 1  |    |
|   |   |  |    |