

1

2  
3  
4  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 GREGORY MORRIS,

6 Plaintiff,

No. C 07-2890 PJH

7 v.

8 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA AT  
SAN FRANCISCO; SAFECO INSURANCE  
9 COMPANY, et al.,ORDER RELIEVING PARTIES OF  
REQUIREMENTS OF GENERAL ORDER  
56 AND SETTING BRIEFING  
SCHEDULE

10 Defendants.

11  
12 On February 4, 2008, the instant case was reassigned to the undersigned judge.  
13 Having reviewed the documents on file in this action, including the complaint, the court has  
14 determined that the ADA claims alleged by plaintiff are but a small portion of the total  
15 claims alleged. Accordingly, although the case has been flagged for compliance with  
16 scheduling requirements of General Order 56, the court hereby excuses all parties from  
17 compliance with those requirements.18 The motion to dismiss filed by defendants The State Bar of California, the State Bar  
19 Board of Governors, the State Bar Lawyer Assistance Program, and the Office of the Chief  
20 Trial Counsel, filed prior to reassignment of the instant action, shall remain pending before  
21 the undersigned. The hearing shall take place on **March 19, 2008**. Plaintiff's opposition  
22 brief to the motion shall be due no later than February 27, 2008. Defendant's reply brief  
23 shall be due no later than March 5, 2008. All briefs shall be filed in compliance with Civil  
24 Local Rules 7-3 and 7-4.25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: February 6, 2008

27  
28  
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge