1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	DERRECK E. SUNDERLAND,	) 1:09-CV-00083 LJO GSA HC	
13	Petitioner,	) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND	
14		) RECOMMENDATION ) [Doc. #15]	
15	V.	) ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT	
16 17		) OF HABEAS CORPUS ) OPDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT	
17 18	JAMES D. HARTLEY, Acting Warden,	<ul> <li>ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT</li> <li>TO ENTER JUDGMENT</li> </ul>	
18 19	Respondent.		
20		_/	
20	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus		
22	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.		
23	On February 17, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued a Findings and Recommendation that		
24	recommended the petition be DISMISSED with prejudice on multiple grounds. The Magistrate		
25	Judge further recommended that the Clerk of Court be DIRECTED to enter judgment. The Findings and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of service of the order. Over thirty (30) days have passed and		
26			
27			
28	no party has filed objections.		

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a <i>de</i>	
2	novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file and having considered the	
3	objections, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation is	
4	supported by the record and proper analysis, and there is no need to modify the Findings and	
5 Recommendations based on the points raised in the objections.		
6	6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
7	1. The Findings and Recommendation issued February 17, 2009, is ADOPTED IN FULL;	
8	<ul> <li>2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED with prejudice;</li> <li>3. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to enter judgment; and</li> </ul>	
9		
10	4. As this petition challenges a parole decision, a certificate of appealability is not required.	
11	<ul> <li>11 <u>Rosas v. Nielsen</u>, 428 F.3d 1229, 1232 (9th Cir.2005).</li> <li>12 IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> </ul>	
12		
13	Dated:       April 3, 2009       /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill         UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
U.S. District Court		