(PC) Glass v. Woodford et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTR	der of California
9	DONALD GLASS,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00098-AWI-BAM PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADDRESSING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
11	v.	(ECF No. 110, 116, 117, 121)
12	R. FIELDS, et al.,	(ECT No. 110, 110, 117, 121)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Donald Glass ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 25, 2012, an order	
17	issued requiring the parties to notify the Court if a settlement conference would be beneficial in this	
18	action. (ECF No. 110.) Plaintiff filed a response on October 19, 2012, stating that he would open	
19	to participating in a settlement conference. (ECF No. 116.) Defendants filed a response on October	
20	22, 2012, stating that a settlement conference would be beneficial, however the costs of having the	
21	parties attend the conference outweighs the settlement value of the action, and a telephonic	
22	settlement conference was requested. (ECF No. 117.) On November 8, 2012, Plaintiff filed an	
23	objection to having the settlement conference held telephonically. (ECF No. 121.)	
24	Based upon the parties' responses, the Court declines to set a settlement conference at this	
25	time. The Court would encourage the parties to discuss settlement.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: November 9, 2012	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		OTHER STATES MAGISTRATE JODGE
		1

Doc. 122