1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STACEY DANIELLA DYER, Petitioner, v. TINA HORNBEAK, Respondent. Petitioner is a state prisoner procepursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On December 15, 2009, the Distundersigned and denied the petition. Jude Petitioner filed the instant motion for proceedings were held in this case from consists of the pleadings filed by the part	trict Judge adopted the Findings and Recommendation of the dgment was entered on the same date. On February 22, 2010, roduction of transcripts. Petitioner is advised that no court which a court reporter could prepare transcripts. The record rties and the orders issued by this Court. If Petitioner seeks
18 19 20 21 22	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an application for a writ of habeas corpus ursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On December 15, 2009, the District Judge adopted the Findings and Recommendation of the indersigned and denied the petition. Judgment was entered on the same date. On February 22, 2010, retitioner filed the instant motion for production of transcripts. Petitioner is advised that no court receedings were held in this case from which a court reporter could prepare transcripts. The record onsists of the pleadings filed by the parties and the orders issued by this Court. If Petitioner seeks opies of any pleadings, she is advised that such a request must be directed to the Clerk of the Court.	
25 26 27 28	Accordingly, Petitioner's motion IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 4, 2010	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

U.S. District Cour E. D. California

(HC) Dyer v. Hornbeak

Dockets.Justia.com

Doc. 44