1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	BARRY LOU	UIS LAMON,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00205-LJO-SMS (PC)
10		Plaintiff,	ORDER FOLLOWING TELEPHONIC STATUS
11	v.		CONFERENCE
12	DERRAL ADAMS, et al.,		[Docs. 38, 54, 57, 64, 78, 80, 85, 86, 89, 91, 93, 103, 104]
13		Defendants.	
14			
15	On April 27, 2011, at 10:30 a.m. a telephonic status conference was held in this case with		
16	Plaintiff appearing pro se and Lakeysia Beene, Esq. appearing for all served Defendants.		
17	As discussed therein, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
18	(1)	the Complaint will be re-screer	ed in light of the changed pleading standards as
19		delineated in Ashcroft v. Iqbal,	U.S, 129 S.Ct. 1937 (2009). See Moss v.
20		U.S. Secret Service, 572 F.3d 9	962 (9th Cir. 2009) ref. Iqbal; Bell Atlantic Corp. v.
21		Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2	.007);
22	(2)	within thirty (30) days of the da	ate of service of the order adopting the screening
23		findings and recommendations	, both parties may file discovery motions
24		delineating remaining discover	y sought (i.e. via compelling further responses to
25		previously propounded discove	ry as well as additional discovery necessary for
26		adequate trial preparation and/o	or dispositive motions) oppositions and replies may
27		be filed in compliance with the	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local
28			

1

1	Rules; <sup>1</sup>		
2	(3) all outstanding motions, as well as objections that might be construed as requests		
3	for reconsideration, are DENIED as moot per this order.		
4			
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Dated: May 2, 2011 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
8	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	<sup>1</sup> Issues regarding previously served requests for admissions will be addressed by separate order and should		
27 28	not be addressed in any such discovery motions. Subsequent to ruling on any such discovery motions, if deemed appropriate, an amended scheduling order will issue setting forth a new, limited discovery deadline. Further, after resolution of any such outstanding discovery issues, an order providing an amended pretrial dispositive motion deadline will issue.		

I