| 1  |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2  |   |   |  |
| 3  |   |   |  |
| 4  |   |   |  |
| 5  |   |   |  |
| 6  | IINITED STA   | ATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |
| 7  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |  |
| 8  | LASTERIV DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |
| 9  | DADDWI OLUGA AMON   | Case No. 1:09-cv-00205-LJO-JLT (PC)                                     |  |
| 10 | BARRY LOUIS LAMON,  | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND   |  |
| 11 | Plaintiff,  | RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ACCESS TO CASE FILES AND |  |
| 12 | V.  | LEGAL MATERIALS FOR LACK OF<br>JURISDICTION                             |  |
| 13 | ADAMS, et al.,  Defendants.   | (Docs. 287, 291)  |  |
| 14 | Defendants.   |   |  |
| 15 |   |   |  |
| 16 | Plaintiff, Barry Louis Lamon, is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> |   |  |
| 17 | in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States          |   |  |
| 18 | Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.                               |   |  |
| 19 | On October 6, 2015, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations which                     |   |  |
| 20 | was served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that Objections to the Findings     |   |  |
| 21 | and Recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. Neither side filed any objections.             |   |  |
| 22 | Local Rule 304(b), (d).   |   |  |
| 23 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a                |   |  |
| 24 | de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the             |   |  |
| 25 | Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.                      |   |  |
| 26 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:   |   |  |
| 27 | 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on October 6, 2015 (Doc. 291), is adopted in full; and       |   |  |
| 28 | iii iuii, aiiu  |   |  |

| 1  | 2. Plaintiff's motion, filed on September 21, 2015 (Doc. 287) requesting access | ss to |  |
|----|---|-------|--|
| 2  | case files and legal materials, is denied for lack of jurisdiction.             |       |  |
| 3  | cuse mes and regar materials, is defined for facilities.                        |       |  |
| 4  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |       |  |
| 5  | Dated: December 2, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill                                 |       |  |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |       |  |
| 7  |   |       |  |
| 8  |   |       |  |
| 9  |   |       |  |
| 10 |   |       |  |
| 11 |   |       |  |
| 12 |   |       |  |
| 13 |   |       |  |
| 14 |   |       |  |
| 15 |   |       |  |
| 16 |   |       |  |
| 17 |   |       |  |
| 18 |   |       |  |
| 19 |   |       |  |
| 20 |   |       |  |
| 21 |   |       |  |
| 22 |   |       |  |
| 23 |   |       |  |
| 24 |   |       |  |
| 25 |   |       |  |
| 26 |   |       |  |
| 27 |   |       |  |
| 28 |   |       |  |
|    | ii  |       |  |