

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO DIVISION
10

11 MARK HILLIARD, individually and
12 on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 HEARTLAND PAYMENT
SYSTEMS, INC.,

16 Defendant.
17

CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00219-OWW-
DLB

**ORDER APPROVING
STIPULATION EXTENDING
TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
AND STAYING PROCEEDINGS
PENDING TRANSFER BY THE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

18
19 Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation Extending Time for Defendant to Respond
20 to Complaint and Staying Proceedings Pending Transfer by the Judicial Panel on
21 Multidistrict Litigation,
22

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for Defendant Heartland Payment
24 Systems, Inc. to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaint is hereby
25 adjourned in light of Heartland's motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
26 Litigation to transfer this matter for coordinated or consolidated pre-trial proceedings
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 and, if the motion to transfer is granted, until such time
2 as set by the transferee court.
3

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
5

6 DATED: 3/31/2009
7

8
9 By: /s/ OLIVER W. WANGER
10 Hon. Oliver W. Wanger,
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28