1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		C DISTRICT COURT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	ANGEL H. HERNANDEZ,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00268-LJO-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DOE DEFENDANT SHOULD
11	V.	NOT BE DISMISSED FROM ACTION
12	CHRIS JORDAN, et al.,	(Doc. 12)
13	Defendants.	TWENTY-DAY DEADLINE
14	,	
15	Plaintiff Angel H. Hernandez, a form	ner state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma
16	pauperis, filed this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and California law on February 11,	
17	2009. Pursuant to the Court's screening orders, this action is proceeding against Defendants Costner	
18	and Doe 1 for use of excessive force, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment, and for negligence.	
19	The deadlines for the completion of all	discovery and to amend the pleadings have expired,
20	and Plaintiff did not seek leave to amend his complaint to identify Defendant Doe 1. Fed. R. Civ.	
21	P. 15(a), 16(b)(4); <u>Wakefield v. Thompson</u> , 177 F.3d 1160, 1163 (9th Cir. 1999).	
22	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
23	1. Plaintiff has <b>twenty (20) days</b> f	from the date of service of this order within which to
24	show cause why Defendant Do	e 1 should not be dismissed from this action; and
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

1	2. The failure to respond to this order or the failure to show good cause will result in the
2	dismissal of Defendant Doe 1 from this action, with prejudice.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: July 13, 2012 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
28	
	2