

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARRYL WAKEFIELD,

CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00274-LJO-SMS PC

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE
RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

RICHARD INDERMILL,

(ECF Nos. 50, 51)

Defendant.

/ THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Darryl Wakefield ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000cc-1 (Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000 ("RLUIPA")). This action is proceeding on Plaintiff's amended complaint, filed August 11, 2009, against Defendant Wakefield for violations of the First Amendment and RLUIPA.

On June 22, 2011, Defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff failed to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion. Local Rule 230(l).

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of this order; and

///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. The failure to respond to Defendant's motion in compliance with this order will result in dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 21, 2011

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE