1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JIMMY RODRIGUEZ, ) 1:09-cv-00380-OWW-SMS 10 Plaintiff, ) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S 11 ) APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA v. PAUPERIS (DOCS. 2, 4) 12 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL INFORMATIONAL ORDER TO PLAINTIFF REGARDING THE COURT'S SCREENING 13 SECURITY, PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT BEFORE 14 Defendant. ) SERVICE IS ORDERED 15 16 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se with an action seeking 17 judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social 18 Security (Commissioner) denying Plaintiff's application for 19 benefits. 20 I. Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis 21 Pending before the Court are Plaintiff's motions to proceed 22 in forma pauperis, filed on March 2, 2009, and April 13, 2009. 23 Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing 24 required by § 1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed in 25 forma pauperis IS GRANTED. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 26 II. Informational Order to Plaintiff 27 Plaintiff IS INFORMED that in a case in which the Plaintiff 28

is proceeding in forma pauperis, the Court is required to screen the complaint and shall dismiss the case at any time if the Court determines that the allegation of poverty is untrue, or the action or appeal is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). The Court will direct the United States Marshal to serve Plaintiff's complaint only after the Court has screened the complaint and determined that it contains cognizable claims for relief against the named defendants. Because of the large number of pending matters before it, the Court will screen Plaintiff's complaint in due course.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 17, 2009 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE