1	EDGAR B. WASHBURN (CA SBN 34038)	
2	EWashburn@mofo.com CHRISTOPHER J. CARR (CA SBN 184076)	
3	CCarr@mofo.com WILLIAM M. SLOAN (CA SBN 203583)	
	WSloan@mofo.com	
4	SHAYE DIVELEY (CA SBN 215602) SDiveley@mofo.com	
5	CORINNE FRATINI (CA SBN 259109) CFratini@mofo.com	
6	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
7	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	
8	Telephone: 415.268.7000	
9	KAREN L. TACHIKI (CA SBN 91539) General Counsel	
	LINUS MASOUREDIS (CA SBN 77322)	
10	Senior Deputy General Counsel LMasouredis@mwdh2o.com	
11	THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
12	1121 L Street, Suite 900	
13	Sacramento, California 95814-3974 Telephone: 916.650.2600	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15	THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
16	UNITED STATES DISTR	
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF C	CALIFORNIA
17	THE DELTA SMELT CASES,	1:09-cv-407 OWW GSA 1:09-cv-422 OWW GSA
18	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER	1:09-cv-631 OWW GSA 1:09-cv-892 OWW GSA
19	AUTHORITY, et al. v. SALAZAR, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-407)	PARTIALLY CONSOLIDATED WITH
20		1:09-cv-480 OWW GSA 1:09-cv-1201-OWW-DLB
21	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. SALAZAR, <i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-422)	
22	COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA,	ORDER FOLLOWING FEBRUARY 12, 2010 STATUS
23	et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-480)	CONFERENCE
24	METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT v.	Judge: Hon. Oliver W. Wanger
25	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, <i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-631)	
26	STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS, et al. v.	
27	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, <i>et al.</i> (Case No. 1:09-cv-892)	
28		1
_~		

 $[Proposed] \ Order \ Following \ February \ 12, 2010 \ Scheduling \ Conference -1:09-cv-0407-OWW-DLB \\ sf-2804120$

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	ı

The Court held a status conference in these consolidated actions on February 12, 2010, at the request of Plaintiffs. Plaintiff Metropolitan Water District of Southern California was represented by William M. Sloan, Morrison & Foerster LLP; Plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District were represented by Daniel J.

O'Hanlon, Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard, and Eileen M. Diepenbrock, Diepenbrock Harrison; Plaintiffs Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and Kern County Water Agency were represented by Audrey Huang, Nossaman LLP; Plaintiff State Water Contractors was represented by Gregory K. Wilkinson, Best Best & Krieger LLP; Plaintiffs Stewart & Jasper Orchards, et al., were represented by Brandon M. Middleton, Pacific Legal Foundation; Plaintiff Family Farm Alliance was represented by Brenda Davis, The Brenda Davis Law Group; Plaintiff-Intervenor California Department of Water Resources was represented by Allison Goldsmith; Federal Defendants were represented by Ethan Eddy, S. Jay Govindan and William J. Shapiro, United States Department of Justice; and Defendant-Intervenors Natural Resources Defense Council and The Bay Institute were represented by George M. Torgun.

In the conference, the parties discussed the expert witnesses who will be permitted to testify in opposition to the preliminary injunction. Plaintiffs indicated that they opposed the testimony of Frederick V. Feyrer, Ken B. Newman, Lenny Grimaldo, and Derek Hilts, because Federal Defendants did not file the declarations of these witnesses until January 8, 2010, ten days after Defendants filed their brief in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. Federal Defendants stated that they would like to preserve the opportunity to present testimony during that hearing from Dr. Newman, Dr. Grimaldo, Mr. Feyrer, and Mr. Hilts, as well as Cay Collette Goude. The Court finds that Dr. Newman, Dr. Grimaldo, Mr. Feyrer, Mr. Hilts, and Ms. Goude may testify at the preliminary injunction hearing, currently scheduled in conjunction with the consolidated Salmon cases for March 31, April 1, April 2, April 5 and April 6. Plaintiffs requested that if such testimony is allowed, that they be permitted an opportunity to respond to the declarations filed by the first four experts on January 8, 2010. The Federal Defendants did not object to that request. In addition, the Court requested that the hearing on the motions for

27

28

28